

**REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed November 17, 2009 has been reviewed and carefully considered and reconsideration of the above-identified application, as amended , and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested

Claims 1, 3-10, 12 and 15-22 are pending.

Claims 1, 3-10 and 12 stand rejected.

Claims 15-22 are allowed.

Claims 1, 15 and 19 are independent claims.

Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 15-22 are indicated to be allowed.

With regard to the rejection of claims 1, 3-10 and 12 under 35 USC 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter, applicant greatly appreciates the Examiner's facsimile transmission of a proposed Examiner's Amendment. Independent claim 1 has been amended to further recite the method being operable in a computer-enabled apparatus as suggested in the proposed Examiner's Amendment.

Accordingly, applicant submits that claim 1 now recites a method operable in an apparatus and thus the claim is directed toward statutory subject matter. For the amendments made to claim 1, applicant submits that the reason for the rejection of the claim has been overcome.

With regard to the remaining claims, these claims depend from claim 1 and, hence, are also allowable by virtual of their dependency upon an allowable base claim.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all the claims are in allowable form and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel J. Piotrowski/  
Daniel J. Piotrowski  
Attorney  
Registration No. 42,079